

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1: Basic Properties of Alcohol

I. General Points: History and Form

- §1:01 History
- §1:02 Chemical Structure
- §1:03 Industrial/Denatured Alcohol

II. Sources of Alcohol

A. Alcoholic Beverages

1. General Points

- §1:10 Basic Processes
- §1:11 Sources

2. Fermented Products

- §1:20 General Points
- §1:21 Wine
- §1:22 Rice Wine
- §1:23 Ales and Beers

3. Distilled Products

- §1:30 General Points
- §1:31 Congeners
- §1:32 Whiskey
- §1:33 Gin
- §1:34 Vodka
- §1:35 Rum
- §1:36 Tequila
- §1:37 Brandy
- §1:38 Liqueur

B. Alcohol From Alternative Sources

- §1:40 General Points
- §1:41 Table of Alternative Sources

III. Math and Conversion Factors

- §1:50 Proof vs. Percentage
- §1:51 Dosage Units

IV. Effects on the Body Systems

- §1:60 General Points
- §1:61 Blood Constituents
- §1:62 The Brain
- §1:63 The Heart
- §1:64 Gall Bladder
- §1:65 Kidneys
- §1:66 Liver
- §1:67 Lungs
- §1:68 Pancreas
- §1:69 Stomach
- §1:70 Procreation and Childbirth
- §1:71 Gender Differences

V. National Concern and Legal Response to Alcohol Use

- §1:80 General Points and History
- §1:81 The Legislative Response; 0.08% per se
- §1:82 Role of the Expert

Chapter 2: Alcohol Absorption, Distribution, Elimination, and Effects**I. Pharmacology and Toxicology of Alcohol**

- §2:01 Two Subsets Describe Metabolism
- §2:02 Pharmacokinetics
- §2:03 Pharmacodynamics

II. Pharmacokinetics**A. General Points**

- §2:10 Circulation Through the Blood Stream
- §2:11 Graph of Blood Alcohol Concentration Over Time

B. Absorption**1. Methods of Absorption**

- §2:20 Primary Method of Absorption
- §2:21 Other Methods of Absorption

2. Factors That Affect Absorption**a. Common Factors**

- §2:30 General Points
- §2:31 Food
- §2:32 Speed of Ingestion of Alcohol
- §2:33 Cigarette Smoking
- §2:34 Type and Strength of Alcohol
- §2:35 Gender
- §2:36 Stomach Diseases
- §2:37 Physiological State of the Subject
- §2:38 Age

b. Drugs

- §2:40 Glycine, Glycylglycine, Glycylglycylglycine and Alanine
- §2:41 First-Pass Metabolism: Ibuprofen, Ranitidine, Cimetidine, and Aspirin
- §2:42 Cholinergic and Adrenergic Drugs

C. Distribution

- §2:50 General Distribution System
- §2:51 Volume of Distribution: V_d
- §2:52 Arterial vs. Venous Concentration During Absorption and Distribution
- §2:53 Distribution in the Body Tissues and Fluids

D. Elimination**1. General Points**

- §2:60 Elimination by Oxidation
- §2:61 Average Elimination Rates

2. Metabolism Kinetics

- §2:70 Three Enzyme Systems for Oxidation
- §2:71 Alcohol Dehydrogenase (ADH)
- §2:72 The Microsomal Alcohol-Oxidizing System (MEOS)
- §2:73 The Catalase System

3. Elimination Kinetics

- §2:80 The Widmark Hypothesis
- §2:81 The Michaelis-Menten Model

4. Factors That Affect Elimination

- §2:90 General Points
- §2:91 Food in the Stomach
- §2:92 Racial Genetics
- §2:93 Fructose
- §2:94 Age and Sex
- §2:95 Chronic Alcoholism
- §2:96 Pathological Factors: Disease

E. Estimating Peak Alcohol Concentration**1. Normal Patterns**

- §2:100 Over-Simplification Is a Hazard to Estimating
- §2:101 Conservative Estimates Are Statistically More Accurate
- §2:102 BA Levels Can Change or Plateau

2. Anomalies in BA Concentrations

- §2:110 Curve May Fluctuate
- §2:111 "Zig-Zag" Effect
- §2:112 Inter- and Intra-Subject Variation

III. Pharmacodynamics**A. General Points**

- §2:120 Drugs Alter Normal Functions
- §2:121 Distinction Between Effects and Impairment
- §2:122 Response to Effects May Vary

B. Effects of Alcohol

- §2:130 Alcohol Affects Many Body Sites
- §2:131 BAC vs. Effects Charts
- §2:132 Effects Are Progressive and Cumulative
- §2:133 Effects on the Brain
- §2:134 Impairment Does Not Correlate With BAL
- §2:135 Blackouts

C. Factors That Affect Level of Impairment

- §2:140 Many Factors Affect Impairment
- §2:141 Time of Day
- §2:142 Tolerance
- §2:143 Acute Tolerance
- §2:144 Hangover
- §2:145 Environment
- §2:146 Performance Enhancement at Low Levels
- §2:147 Food

D. Driving Impairment

- §2:150 Accident Risk Analysis
- §2:151 National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) Studies
- §2:152 Summary

Chapter 3: Legal Standards: Implied Consent

I. Implied Consent

§3:01 Implied Consent to Test Laws Are Upheld

§3:02 Every State Has an Implied Consent Law

II. Constitutional Challenges

A. United States Supreme Court Decisions

§3:10 Unconscious Driver; No Statute

§3:11 Conscious Driver; No Statute

§3:12 Implied Consent Statute

B. State Challenges

§3:20 Due Process

§3:21 Self-Incrimination

§3:22 Illegal Search Cases

C. Rational Basis Challenges

§3:25 Challenges Are Difficult

§3:26 Burden of Proof is on the Challenger

III. Successful Attacks

§3:30 Attack Compliance With Procedure

§3:31 Untimely Warning

§3:32 Misinformation in Warning

§3:33 Refusal to Sign Hospital Release Is Not a Lack of Consent

§3:34 Failure to Notify of Intent to Revoke License

§3:35 Delay to Contact Attorney Is Not a Lack of Consent

§3:36 *Miranda*-Induced Confusion

IV. Delay Tactics

§3:40 Generally Delay Equals Refusal

§3:41 Equivocation Equals Refusal

§3:42 Conditional Consent

§3:43 Change of Mind After Refusal Usually Equals Refusal

V. DMV Hearing Issues

§3:50 Delayed Discovery

§3:51 Calibration Checks Reading High

Chapter 4: Search and Seizure: Police Procedures

I. General Points

§4:01 William Pitt Quotation

§4:02 Evaluate the Detention Systematically

§4:03 The Fourth Amendment

II. The Basis for the Stop

A. Reasonable Suspicion of a Violation

§4:10 General Points

§4:11 Did the Officer Personally Observe a Violation?

§4:12 Pretext Stops

§4:13	Weaving
§4:14	Driving Too Slowly
§4:15	Equipment Violations
§4:16	Squealing Tires
B. Tipsters	
§4:20	Did a Tipster Observe Improper Driving?
§4:21	Anonymous Informants
§4:22	Identified Informants
C. Roadblocks	
§4:30	Eliminate Other Reasons for Detention
§4:31	Constitutionality of Checkpoints— <i>Sitz</i>
§4:32	Constitutionality of Checkpoints— <i>Edmond</i>
§4:33	Constitutionality of Checkpoints— <i>Lidster</i>
§4:34	Sample Questioning at Suppression Hearing
D. Community Caretaker Doctrine	
§4:40	The Basic Doctrine
§4:41	Implementation of the Doctrine
§4:42	Limiting the Doctrine
E. Search of Vehicle Incident to an Arrest	
§4:44	Historical Rule for Search of Vehicle
§4:45	Clarification of Rule
F. Mistaken Arrest Warrants	
§4:47	No Deterrence for a Mistake
III. Maximizing Prospects of Winning	
§4:50	File the Motion
§4:51	Focus on the Officer's Testimony
§4:52	Determine Speed in Feet per Second
§4:53	Know the Statute
§4:54	Remain Positive
§4:55	Checklist for Suppression Motions
IV. Weaving Research	
§4:60	Research Explanation and Acknowledgement
§4:61	United States Weaving Cases

Chapter 5: Discovery and Investigation

I. Constitutional Authority for Discovery	
§5:01	Federal Constitution Provides Discovery Rights
§5:02	Lead Cases: <i>Brady</i> , <i>Agurs</i> , and <i>Strickler</i>
§5:03	No General Constitutional Right to Discovery
§5:04	No Duty to Preserve Evidence Unless it Is Exculpatory: <i>Trombetta</i>
§5:05	State Applications in Drunk Driving Cases
II. Sequence and Priorities	
§5:10	Investigation First, Discovery Second
§5:11	Discovery Before the Preliminary Hearing
§5:12	Planning for Sanctions
§5:13	Traditional Discovery
§5:14	Discover How the Other Side Rates the Case
§5:15	Checklist for Discovery and Investigation

III. Methods of Investigation

- §5:20 Administrative Hearings
- §5:21 Your Investigator
- §5:22 Public Record Requests
- §5:23 Depositions in Civil Lawsuits
- §5:24 Retesting

IV. The Desired Information

- §5:30 General Points
- §5:31 Breath Cases
- §5:32 Blood and Urine Cases
- §5:33 All Cases

V. Discovery by the Prosecution

- §5:40 Obligation of Defense to Provide Discovery
- §5:41 Make Request Early

VI. Source Code Discovery**A. General Points**

- §5:50 All Software Has Flaws
- §5:51 Source Code Litigation

B. *New Jersey v. Chun*

- §5:60 The *Chun* Decision
- §5:61 Women Over 60
- §5:62 Buffer Overflow Problem
- §5:63 The 2100 to 1 Blood/Breath Ratio
- §5:64 Temperature
- §5:65 Fuel Cell Drift Algorithm
- §5:66 Syllabus of the Decision

VII. Discovery of Police Personnel Files

- §5:70 Personnel Records Can Be Discoverable
- §5:71 Sample Motion

Chapter 6: Retaining and Using Experts**I. General Points**

- §6:01 Hiring an Expert Is an Important Process
- §6:02 Checklist for Selecting an Expert

II. Experts for the Prosecution

- §6:10 Using the Expert Who Comes With the Case
- §6:11 Using a Rebuttal Expert
- §6:12 Using an Expert Other Than the Analyst
- §6:13 Use a Good Expert

III. The Defense's Review of the Prosecution's Expert Choice

- §6:20 Determine Why the Expert Was Chosen
- §6:21 Be Wary if the Expert Is Not the Analyst
- §6:22 Effect of *Crawford v. Washington* on Hearsay Exceptions
- §6:23 Application of *Crawford* to Blood Alcohol Tests

IV. Defense Experts

- §6:30 Finding the Right Expert
- §6:31 Educational Background
- §6:32 Experience in the field
- §6:33 Professional Training

V. Retaining the Expert

- §6:40 Finding the Expert
- §6:41 Fee Agreements
- §6:42 Formality of the Agreements

VI. Preparing the Expert for Trial

- §6:50 Sending the Necessary Discovery
- §6:51 Reviewing the Case
- §6:52 The Golden Rule
- §6:53 General Trial Preparation
- §6:54 Preparing for Cross-Examination

VII. Accident Reconstruction Experts

- §6:60 Accident Investigators vs. Accident Reconstructionists
- §6:61 Requirements for a Proper Accident Reconstruction Theory
- §6:62 Accident Reconstruction Software

Chapter 7: Field Sobriety Tests

I. General Points

- §7:01 Field Tests Are Less Reliable Than Chemical Tests
- §7:02 Value of Field Tests
- §7:03 Test Settings and Studies
- §7:04 Types of Tests
- §7:05 Standardized and Non-Standardized Tests
- §7:06 Not All Tests Have Been Studied

II. Studies of Alcohol Use and Tests**A. Studies in Research Settings**

- §7:10 Environmental and Situational Factors are Controlled
- §7:11 Determination of a Baseline
- §7:12 Increased Understanding of the Effects of Alcohol
- §7:13 Correlation Studies and the Correlation Coefficient

B. Field Studies**1. Driving Behavior**

- §7:20 Epidemiological Studies
- §7:21 Correlation of Driving Behaviors with Alcohol Level

2. Self or Third Party Assessment

- §7:30 Self Assessment
- §7:31 Clinical Assessment
- §7:32 Layman Assessment

3. "Objective" Signs of Intoxication

- §7:40 Odor of Alcohol
- §7:41 Slurred Speech
- §7:42 Red/Watery Eyes

III. Pre-Field Sobriety Test Questioning

- §7:50 Tests Are Preceded by Interrogation
- §7:51 Check for Impediments
- §7:52 Assess Divided Attention Ability

IV. Non-Standard Field Sobriety Tests (Exercises)

A. Romberg Test

- §7:60 History and Use
- §7:61 Mechanics of the Test
- §7:62 Baseline Is Critical
- §7:63 Varying Results Show Test Is Unreliable

B. Other Tests

- §7:70 Finger to Nose
- §7:71 Alphabet, Count Down, and Finger Count Tests
- §7:72 Handwriting and Tracing
- §7:73 Hand-Pat and Picking up Coins

V. The Standardized Field Sobriety Test Method (SFST)

A. History and Studies

1. Development of Standard Tests

- §7:80 NHTSA Commission SCRI Research
- §7:81 The 1977 Report
- §7:82 The 1981 Report

2. Large-Scale Field Validations

- §7:90 The 1983 Study
- §7:91 Colorado, Florida, and California Studies

3. Correlation Studies

- §7:100 The 1998 Validation Study
- §7:101 The 1997 Validation Study

4. Critiques of the Validation Studies

- §7:110 Studies Not Peer-Reviewed
- §7:111 Officers Do Not Comply With SFST Procedure
- §7:112 Nystagmus Measurement Inexact
- §7:113 Use of Correlation Coefficients is Misleading
- §7:114 California Study Conclusion Is Flawed
- §7:115 Officers in Studies More Experienced Than Normal
- §7:116 Reliability Claims Are Misleading
- §7:117 Age and Weight Not Taken Into Account
- §7:118 Clues Were Not Independently Evaluated
- §7:119 Comparison to Estimated BAC Is Invalid

B. Administering and Scoring the Tests

1. General Points

- §7:130 Three Pre-Arrest Tests
- §7:131 Standardization Is Critical

2. Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus

a. Definition and Types of Nystagmus

- §7:140 Definition and General Points
- §7:141 Types of Nystagmus

b. Causes of Nystagmus	
§7:150	Alcohol Metabolites
§7:151	Pathological Disorders and Diseases
§7:152	Medications
§7:153	Circadian Rhythms/Biorhythms
§7:154	Natural End-Point Nystagmus
§7:155	Congenital Nystagmus
§7:156	Fatigue
§7:157	Alcohol
§7:158	Rising or Falling Alcohol Level
c. Measuring Nystagmus	
§7:160	Angle of Onset Not a Reliable Indicator
§7:161	Nystagmus at Low Levels
§7:162	Administration of the Test
§7:163	Scoring the Test
3. Walk and Turn	
§7:170	Administration of the Test
§7:171	Scoring the Test
4. One Leg Stand	
§7:180	Administration of the Test
§7:181	Scoring the Test
C. Evaluating the SFST	
§7:190	Lack of Establishment of Normal Performance
§7:191	Using Clues to Indicate a “Failure”
§7:192	SFSTs Do Not Determine Driving Impairment
§7:193	Non-Chemical Tests Have Unreliable Aspects

Chapter 8: Attacking and Defending Field Sobriety Tests and Evaluations

I. Preparation and Investigation

§8:01	Secure the Scene
§8:02	Look for Phone Recordings
§8:03	Check Footwear
§8:04	Find All Witnesses
§8:05	Get the Police Report
§8:06	Get DUI Training Instructions

II. Jury Selection

§8:10	Jurors’ Physical Characteristics
§8:11	Ask About Field Tests
§8:12	Jurors With Specialized Training

III. Attacking Field Sobriety Tests

A. The Legal Standards

§8:20	General Points and Historical Background
§8:21	<i>Daubert & Kumho Tire</i> Admissibility Standards
§8:22	Failure to Comply With NHTSA Criteria
§8:23	The Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus

B. Cross-Examination of the Arresting Officer**1. General Points**

- §8:30 The First Confrontation
- §8:31 Tips for Cross-Examining Police Officers
- §8:32 The Phrase “Field Sobriety Tests”
- §8:33 The Cross-Contamination Dynamic

2. Objective Factors

- §8:40 Clarify That “Objective Factors” Are Subjective
- §8:41 Bloodshot Eyes
- §8:42 Odor of Alcohol
- §8:43 Slurred Speech
- §8:44 Flushed Face

3. Field Sobriety Tests

- §8:50 Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus
- §8:51 The Romberg or Modified Position of Attention Test
- §8:52 One Leg Stand
- §8:53 Walk the Line
- §8:54 The Alphabet Test

C. Maximizing Prospects of Winning

- §8:60 Multi-Layered Approach
- §8:61 Checklist for Attacking Non-Chemical Sobriety Tests

IV. Defending Field Sobriety Tests

- §8:70 Investigate the Scene
- §8:71 Promote NHTSA
- §8:72 Promote the Officer’s Training
- §8:73 Review the Officer’s Instructions
- §8:74 Explain Any Second Officer
- §8:75 Depersonalize the Case
- §8:76 Correlate the Tests to Alcohol Levels
- §8:77 Discredit Lay Witnesses

Chapter 9: Blood Alcohol Concentration and Widmark Calculations**I. General Points**

- §9:01 Mathematical Formulas Estimate BAC
- §9:02 Measurement Conversions for Blood Alcohol Concentration
- §9:03 Measurement of Dosage Units

II. Predicting BAC With Widmark**A. The Widmark Formula**

- §9:10 The Need for Widmark Calculations
- §9:11 Widmark and His Equation
- §9:12 The Formula
- §9:13 The β (Beta) Factor
- §9:14 The “r” Factor

B. Using Widmark in Cases

- §9:20 Questions That Experts Are Frequently Asked
- §9:21 Example 1: Maximum BAC
- §9:22 Example 2: Maximum BAC Over Certain Time
- §9:23 Example 3: BAC at a Future Time
- §9:24 Example 4: BAC at Time of Driving

C. Problems Encountered in Calculations

- §9:30 Experts Must Maximize Reliability
- §9:31 Failure to Consider Variables
- §9:32 Changes in “r”
- §9:33 Changes in Absorption Rate
- §9:34 Changes in Elimination Rate (Widmark β)

III. The NHTSA Equation

- §9:40 Modification of Widmark
- §9:41 Computing BAC by the NHTSA Equation
- §9:42 Comparison to Widmark

IV. Retrograde Extrapolation

- §9:50 Definition and Purpose
- §9:51 Method of Extrapolation
- §9:52 Errors Through Use of “Average” Values
- §9:53 Errors Through Uncertainty of the Phase of Metabolism
- §9:54 Errors Through Lack of Sufficient Information

Chapter 10: Attacking and Defending Widmark Calculations**I. General Points****A. History and Use of Widmark**

- §10:01 Professor Widmark’s Treatise
- §10:02 Use of Widmark in Trial

B. Widmark and the Per Se Laws

- §10:10 Per se Laws Encourage Use of Widmark
- §10:11 Examples of per se Statutes
- §10:12 Defense Use of Difference in Statutes

II. Attacking Widmark Calculations**A. The Secret to Winning Any Trial**

- §10:20 Deal With the Predominant Problem
- §10:21 Identifying the Predominant Problem
- §10:22 Solving the Predominant Problem

B. The Predominant Problem in Drunk Driving Cases

- §10:30 Presumption That the Defendant Is Guilty
- §10:31 Widmark Calculations and the Predominant Problem

C. Attacking the Calculations

- §10:40 Typical Presentation of Widmark Calculations
- §10:41 Expose the Charade
- §10:42 Ask What the Formula Is
- §10:43 Ask Whether the Expert Has Read Widmark
- §10:44 The Widmark Variables
- §10:45 Cross-Examining on the Widmark Variables
- §10:46 Checklist for Attacking Widmark Calculations

D. Widmark Calculations in Breath Cases

- §10:50 Calculations Attack Defendant’s Veracity
- §10:51 Breath Machine Assumes a Partition Ratio
- §10:52 Right to Attack Breath Machine’s Accuracy

III. Defending Widmark Calculations

- §10:60 Keep the Presumption of Guilt Alive
- §10:61 Consider Not Using Widmark
- §10:62 Explain the Widmark Calculations
- §10:63 In Breath Cases Avoid Partition Ratios

IV. Retrograde Extrapolation - A Dubious Practice

- §10:70 The Problems with Retrograde Extrapolation

Chapter 11: Breath Tests

I. Introduction

A. History and Advantages

- §11:01 Early Studies of Breath Testing
- §11:02 NHTSA Standards
- §11:03 Advantages of Breath Testing
- §11:04 Outside Factors Affect Breath Testing

B. Physiology

- §11:10 The Breathing Process
- §11:11 Ventilation
- §11:12 Perfusion
- §11:13 Henry's Law
- §11:14 Introduction to Breath Testing Technology

C. Screening Test Devices: Scientific Principles

- §11:20 Types of Screening Test Devices
- §11:21 Differences Between IR and EC Technology
- §11:22 Advantages of Screening Test Devices
- §11:23 Disadvantages of Screening Test Devices
- §11:24 Electrochemical (EC) Devices
- §11:25 The Science of Fuel Cells
- §11:26 Semiconductor (SC) Devices
- §11:27 Infrared (IR) Technology
- §11:28 IR Machine Limitations
- §11:29 Tyndall Effect in IR Machines

II. Factors Affecting Breath Alcohol Measurements

A. Human/Physiological Factors

1. The Breathing Process

- §11:40 Phase of Exhalation
- §11:41 Rebreathing and Breathing Ambient Air
- §11:42 Breath and Body Temperature
- §11:43 Breathing Technique

2. Substances in the Mouth

- §11:50 Tongue Piercing and Dental Work
- §11:51 Asthma Inhalers
- §11:52 Breath Spray and Mouthwashes
- §11:53 Food and "Alcohol-Free" Beer

3. Medical Conditions

- §11:60 General Points
- §11:61 Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD)
- §11:62 Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD)
- §11:63 Diabetes

4. Other Factors

- §11:70 Phase of Ethanol Metabolism; Post Absorptive State
- §11:71 Hematocrit
- §11:72 Vasoconstriction
- §11:73 Mouth Alcohol/Regurgitation
- §11:74 Vital Capacity

B. Instrument Factors

- §11:80 The Breath to Blood 2100:1 Conversion
- §11:81 RFI/Electromagnetic Interference
- §11:82 Specificity
- §11:83 Acetone
- §11:84 Diethyl Ether
- §11:85 Acetaldehyde
- §11:86 Methanol, Isopropanol and Other Alcohols
- §11:87 Toluene, Methyl Ethyl Ketone (MEK) and Xylene
- §11:88 Gasoline
- §11:89 Paint and Solvents
- §11:90 Machine Precision and Accuracy

C. Administrative Factors

- §11:100 Safeguards Are Critical
- §11:101 The 15-20 Minute Deprivation Period
- §11:102 Use of a Truncated Result
- §11:103 Use of Only One Specimen/Duplicate Specimens
- §11:104 Use of a Blank
- §11:105 Operator Training

III. Machine Characteristics**A. Types of Machines**

- §11:110 Overview
- §11:111 Alco-Sensor®, RBT and FST
- §11:112 Intoximeter® EC/IR and EC/IR II
- §11:113 Draeger Alcotest® 7110 MKIII-C and Draeger Alcotest® 9510
- §11:114 Draeger Alcotest® 7410Plus
- §11:115 Intoxilyzer 5000 and Intoxilyzer 8000
- §11:116 BAC DataMaster and DataMaster CDM and DataMaster DMT
- §11:117 Miscellaneous Devices

B. Common Machine Issues

- §11:120 Capturing Breath Specimens
- §11:121 Simulators, Simulator Solutions and Standard Gases
- §11:122 Scientists' Checklist

Chapter 12: Attacking and Defending Breath Tests**I. General Points**

- §12:01 Police Prefer Breath Tests
- §12:02 Drivers Prefer Breath Tests

II. Attacking Breath Tests**A. General Points**

- §12:10 Three Categories of Attacks
- §12:11 First Line Defenses
- §12:12 Second Line Defenses

- §12:13 Third Line Defenses
- §12:14 The Common Theme
- §12:15 Checklist for Attacking Breath Tests

B. First Line Defenses

1. Calibration of the Machine

- §12:20 Review Calibration Records
- §12:21 Frequency of Certification Varies
- §12:22 Distinguish Between Calibrations and Calibration Checks
- §12:23 Sample Calibration Check Record
- §12:24 Suppressing Tests for Lack of Calibration Check
- §12:25 Challenging Perfect Records
- §12:26 Cross-Examining on Calibration Checks
- §12:27 Calibration by Dry Gas Alcohol
- §12:28 Calibration of the Draeger Alcotest® 7410

2. Administration of the Test

- §12:30 Review Test Administration Procedure
- §12:31 Operator Must Be Qualified
- §12:32 Operator Qualified on a Different Machine
- §12:33 Effect of Unqualified Operator
- §12:34 Testing Procedures
- §12:35 Required Observation Period
- §12:36 May Have More Than One Observer
- §12:37 The Continuity Requirement for the Observation
- §12:38 Failure to Comply With Observation Period
- §12:39 Blueprint for a Lack of Continuous Observation Attack

3. Test Assumptions and Averages

- §12:40 The 2100 to 1 Partition Ratio
- §12:41 Traditional Attack on the Partition Ratio
- §12:42 Establishment of Breath Alcohol Level as a New Offense
- §12:43 Use the Partition Ratio to Attack the Under the Influence Charge
- §12:44 Reply to Prosecution Attempts to Eliminate Partition Ratio Evidence
- §12:45 Use the Ratio Attack When Prosecution Expert Contradicts Defendant
- §12:46 Cross-Examining on Partition Ratio

C. Second Line Defenses

- §12:50 Margin of Error
- §12:51 Not Specific for Alcohol
- §12:52 Falsely High Readings During the Absorptive State

D. Third Line Defenses

- §12:60 General Points
- §12:61 Radio Frequency Interference
- §12:62 Dentures and Dental Work
- §12:63 Human Factors
- §12:64 A GERD Defense

III. Defending Breath Tests

- §12:70 Do Not Discuss Partition Ratios
- §12:71 Know the Testing Regulations
- §12:72 Establish That the Machine Was Working
- §12:73 Use Impressive Names for the Machine
- §12:74 Establish the Operator's Credentials
- §12:75 When the Test Has Problems

Chapter 13: Blood, Urine, and Saliva Tests

I. General Points

- §13:01 Alcohol Distributes to All Parts of the Body
- §13:02 Impairment Is Based on Blood Alcohol Concentration
- §13:03 Whole Blood Is the Best Specimen
- §13:04 Alternative Specimens May Have Interpretation Problems

II. Blood Tests

- §13:10 Blood Components
- §13:11 Plasma and Serum
- §13:12 Converting Serum/Plasma Alcohol Concentration
- §13:13 Converting Blood Cell Alcohol Concentration
- §13:14 Testing Serum
- §13:15 Testing Whole Blood

III. Urine as an Alternative Specimen

A. The Sample

- §13:20 The Path Into the Urine
- §13:21 Excretion of Alcohol
- §13:22 Collecting the Sample

B. Converting UAC to BAC

- §13:30 Inherent Error
- §13:31 Single Sample Ratios
- §13:32 Second Sample Ratios
- §13:33 The Effect of the Phase of Metabolism
- §13:34 The Effect of Diuresis
- §13:35 The Effect of BAC
- §13:36 The Effect of an Incomplete Void

C. Practical Considerations

- §13:40 Urine Is Not a Good Impairment Specimen
- §13:41 Two Samples Are Necessary
- §13:42 Consider the Full Range of Conversion Ratios

IV. Saliva as an Alternative Specimen

- §13:50 The Use of Saliva Is Increasingly Popular
- §13:51 Collecting the Specimen
- §13:52 Converting to Blood Alcohol Concentration
- §13:53 Comparison With Breath
- §13:54 Practical Considerations

Chapter 14: Attacking and Defending Blood Tests

I. General Points

- §14:01 Blood Tests Are Perceived as Reliable
- §14:02 Blood Tests Are Rarely Challenged
- §14:03 Attacking Blood Tests
- §14:04 Attacking the Sample

II. The Collection Process

- §14:10 General Points
- §14:11 Persons Authorized to Draw Blood
- §14:12 Preparing the Puncture Site
- §14:13 The Blood Draw
- §14:14 Mixing the Sample
- §14:15 Cross-Examining the Phlebotomist
- §14:16 Sample Cross-Examination
- §14:17 Track the Amount of the Sample
- §14:18 Checklist for Reviewing the Collection Process

III. Chain of Custody

- §14:20 Generally Easy to Establish
- §14:21 Gaps Go to Weight Rather Than Admissibility
- §14:22 Inappropriate Gaps
- §14:23 Stipulations to Chain of Custody
- §14:24 Peripheral Issues Go to Weight Rather Than Admissibility

IV. Fermentation in the Blood Sample

- §14:30 Fermentation Is the Main Attack on Blood Tests
- §14:31 Preservation
- §14:32 Refrigeration
- §14:33 Thoughts on Challenging Blood Tests

V. The Blood Alcohol Analysis Process

- §14:40 Threshold Determinations
- §14:41 Hospital Laboratory Privacy Rights
- §14:42 Whole Blood
- §14:43 Plasma and Serum
- §14:44 Limitations of Gas Chromatography
- §14:45 Dual Columns Minimize the Weakness of Gas Chromatography
- §14:46 Head Space or Direct Injection

VI. Cross-Examination of the Prosecution's Expert**A. General Points**

- §14:50 Remember Your Advantage
- §14:51 The Goals of the Cross-Examination
- §14:52 Consider the Sequence

B. The Sequence of Cross-Examination

- §14:60 The Expert's Bias
- §14:61 The Expert's Lack of Personal Knowledge
- §14:62 The Lawyer's Expertise
- §14:63 The Expert's Lack of Expertise
- §14:64 The Problems With the Blood Sample

C. Sample Cross-Examination

- §14:70 General Points
- §14:71 The Expert's Bias
- §14:72 The Expert's Lack of Personal Knowledge
- §14:73 The Lawyer's Expertise
- §14:74 The Expert's Lack of Expertise
- §14:75 Problems With the Blood Sample
- §14:76 Checklist for Attacking Blood Tests

VII. Defending Blood Tests

- §14:80 Gas Chromatography Is Good Science
- §14:81 Use Business Records
- §14:82 Emphasize That Blood Tests Measure Impairment
- §14:83 Build the Credibility

Chapter 15: Laboratory Operations**I. General Points**

- §15:01 Forensic Laboratories
- §15:02 Clinical Laboratories
- §15:03 Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) Guidelines

II. The Forensic Laboratory**A. Collection of the Specimen**

- §15:10 Evidence Collection Kits
- §15:11 Importance and Regulation of the Collection Process
- §15:12 The Collection Procedure
- §15:13 Contamination During Collection
- §15:14 Checklist of Collection Issues

B. Contamination

- §15:20 Contamination by Microorganisms
- §15:21 Contamination Produces Alcohol
- §15:22 Mixing the Preservative
- §15:23 Refrigeration and Sodium Fluoride Levels
- §15:24 Determination of Contamination

C. Chain of Custody

- §15:30 Documentation
- §15:31 Receipt of the Evidence at the Laboratory
- §15:32 Storage and Security of the Evidence

D. Analysis and Reporting

- §15:40 Analysis of the Evidence
- §15:41 Reporting of Results

III. Good Laboratory Practice (GLP)

- §15:50 Standard Guidelines
- §15:51 The Standard Operating Procedure
- §15:52 Quality Assurance (QA)
- §15:53 Laboratory Managers
- §15:54 Laboratory Analysts
- §15:55 Toxicologists

Chapter 16: Laboratory Analysis**I. Determination of the Alcohol Concentration**

- §16:01 Available Methods
- §16:02 Validation of the Method

II. Validation of Instruments

- §16:10 General Points
- §16:11 Precision and Accuracy
- §16:12 Specificity and Selectivity
- §16:13 Linearity
- §16:14 Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantitation
- §16:15 Revalidation

III. Gas Chromatography**A. The Basics of Gas Chromatography**

- §16:20 The Gas Chromatograph
- §16:21 Injection and Separation
- §16:22 Detection and Retention Time
- §16:23 Preparing the Specimen for Quantitation
- §16:24 Calculating the Ethanol Concentration

B. Evaluating the Process

- §16:30 The Quality of the Chromatograms
- §16:31 Headspace vs. Direct Injection

C. GC Analytical Procedure

- §16:40 Preparing the Run
- §16:41 Quality Checks in the Run
- §16:42 Quality Checks After the Run

D. Discovery

- §16:50 Document Review
- §16:51 Evaluating the Case Chromatogram
- §16:52 Archiving of Raw Data

IV. Enzyme Immunoassay

- §16:60 Immunochemical Methods
- §16:61 Immunoassay Analysis vs. Gas Chromatography

V. Quality Control Solutions

- §16:70 Reference Solutions
- §16:71 The Simulator and Simulator Solutions
- §16:72 Calibration Checks With Dry Gas
- §16:73 Reagents and Controls

VI. Risk of Error

- §16:80 Error Is Unavoidable
- §16:81 Checklist of Sources of Error
- §16:82 Summary

Chapter 17: Attacking and Defending Urine and Saliva Tests**I. Urine Tests****A. General Points**

- §17:01 Urine Testing Is Not Accurate
- §17:02 States Have Different Approaches
- §17:03 Statutes May Not Define a Percentage

B. The Method of Attack**1. Review How the Urine Test Is Disfavored**

- §17:10 Attack the State's Expert
- §17:11 Most States Do Not Use Urine Testing
- §17:12 Scientific Authority Criticizes Urine Testing

2. Challenge the Collection of the Sample

- §17:20 Use a Chronological Approach
- §17:21 Proper Samples Are Impossible
- §17:22 Sample Cross-Examination Regarding the Collection

3. Challenge the Conversion

- §17:30 Conversions Require Averages
- §17:31 Sample Cross-Examination Regarding the Conversion

II. Saliva Tests

- §17:40 Saliva Testing Is Rare
- §17:41 Saliva Testing Requires a Conversion
- §17:42 Saliva Testing Requires Continuous Observation

Chapter 18: DUI Motions**I. General Points**

- §18:01 Motion Practice
- §18:02 Tangential Benefits to Motions

II. Specific Suppression Motions**A. Demurrer to Complaint**

- §18:05 Complaints Must Specify the Unlawful Act
- §18:06 Sample Demurrer
- §18:07 Sample Points & Authorities in Support of Demurrer

B. Illegal Stop

- §18:10 Successfully Challenging the Stop Is Generally the Most Beneficial Suppression Motion
- §18:11 Case Examples Regarding Weaving
- §18:12 Sample Suppression Motions
- §18:13 Sample Points and Authorities for Suppression Motion

C. Suppressing a Blood or Breath Test After a Preliminary Screening Device Test

- §18:20 Taking a Preliminary Alcohol Screening Device Test Removes Exigent Circumstances
- §18:21 Sample Points and Authorities to Suppress a Blood [or Breath] Test

D. Roadblocks

- §18:30 Prosecution Must Establish Lawfulness of Roadblock
- §18:31 Sample Operational Order for Field Officers
- §18:32 Sample Checkpoint Guide for Field Officers
- §18:33 General Crime Fighting Is Not an Acceptable Justification
- §18:34 Drafting the Motion
- §18:35 Sample Motion Challenging a Drunk Driving Checkpoint

E. Tolerance

- §18:40 Exclude Evidence of Tolerance
- §18:41 Sample Motion to Exclude Evidence of Tolerance

F. Partition Ratio Evidence

- §18:50 Look for the Opportunity to Introduce Partition Ratio Evidence
- §18:51 Anticipate Strong Opposition

- §18:52 Sample Motion to Introduce Partition Ratio Evidence When Defendant's Credibility Attacked
- §18:53 Sample Motion to Introduce Partition Ratio Evidence Motion on the Generic DUI Charge

G. Chemical Test Results

- §18:60 Denial of Choice of Test
- §18:61 Sample Points and Authorities to Exclude Chemical Test Results Due to the Denial of Choice of Test

H. Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus Test

- §18:70 Limit the Test to Evidence of an Indication of Intoxication
- §18:71 Sample Motion Limiting the Use of the Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus Test

I. Motion in Limine Regarding Calibration Check Records

- §18:80 Move to Require Live Testimony
- §18:81 Sample Motion Regarding Calibration Check Records

J. Objection to the Three Hour Presumption

- §18:90 Object to the Three Hour Presumption Jury Instruction
- §18:91 Sample Objection to the Three Hour Presumption

Chapter 19: Jury Selection

I. General Points

- §19:01 The Presumption of Guilt
- §19:02 The Golden Rule
- §19:03 Jury Selection Maxims

II. The Drunk Driving Jury Research Project

- §19:04 The Study
- §19:05 Demographic Results
- §19:06 Results of Typical DUI Arguments
- §19:07 The Golden Rule
- §19:08 Targeted Questioning
- §19:09 Veiled Questioning

III. How Jurors Process Information

- §19:10 Availability Bias
- §19:11 Monocausality Heuristic
- §19:12 Belief Perseverance Bias
- §19:13 Life Experience Bias

IV. Defense Voir Dire Topics and Tactics

A. General Points

- §19:20 Conducting Voir Dire
- §19:21 Time Is Limited
- §19:22 Destroy the Presumption of Guilt
- §19:23 Tell Jurors to Just Wait
- §19:24 How to Tell When a Juror Is Lying
- §19:25 Chart Distinctive Words and Phrases

B. Particular Areas and Questions

- §19:30 The "I Will Try" Juror
- §19:31 Police Officer Testimony
- §19:32 Circumstantial Evidence

- §19:33 Counter Attack Questions
- §19:34 Presumption of Innocence Questions
- §19:35 Reasonable Doubt Questions
- §19:36 Legal to Drink and Drive Questions
- §19:37 Sure-Fire Negatives Questions
- §19:38 Individual Opinion Questions
- §19:39 Defendant Not Testifying Questions
- §19:40 Awakening Jurors to the Grand Assumption

V. The Jury Selection System

- §19:50 Step One—Identify the Leaders and Followers
- §19:51 Step Two—Rate the Potential Jurors
- §19:52 Step Three—Decide Who to Strike and in What Order

VI. Jury Selection Law

A. *Batson* Challenges

- §19:60 The *Batson* Case
- §19:61 Subsequent Cases
- §19:62 The *Batson* Three Step Process
- §19:63 The Remedy
- §19:64 *Batson* in-Court Reference Guide

B. Know Your State Law

- §19:70 General Points
- §19:71 General State Policy
- §19:72 Exemptions and Excuses From Service
- §19:73 Peace Officer Exemption
- §19:74 Number of Jurors
- §19:75 Voir Dire
- §19:76 Challenges
- §19:77 Peremptory Challenges
- §19:78 Juror Bias

Chapter 20: Coordinating the Attack in Trial

I. General Points

- §20:01 The Challenge of Drunk Driving Cases
- §20:02 The Inside Information
- §20:03 The Basic Problem
- §20:04 The Basic Strategy
- §20:05 Checklist for Coordinating the Attack

II. Steps Before Trial

A. General Points

- §20:10 It's a Deuce
- §20:11 Prepare the Jury Instructions Before Trial

B. Create a Time Line

- §20:20 Know the Facts
- §20:21 Discover Problems With the Officer's Investigation
- §20:22 Engage the Jury

C. Visit the Scene

- §20:30 Firsthand Knowledge Is Essential
- §20:31 Check the Distances and Times
- §20:32 Get the Client Involved
- §20:33 Photograph the Scene
- §20:34 Keep Opposing Witnesses Honest

D. Witness and Discovery Problems

- §20:40 Exchanging Witness Lists
- §20:41 Subpoenaing Witnesses
- §20:42 Keep Your Expert Available

III. The Science of Making Objections in DUI Cases**A. General Points**

- §20:45 Basic Considerations
- §20:46 Rule Number One—Do You Want to Object?
- §20:47 When to Make an Objection
- §20:48 Federalize Your Objections

B. Recurring Objections

- §20:50 Voir Dire
- §20:51 Opening Statement
- §20:52 Examination of the Police Officer
- §20:53 Examination of the State's Percipient Witnesses
- §20:54 Examination of the Phlebotomist
- §20:55 Examination of the State's Criminalist
- §20:56 Cross-Examination of Defense Percipient Witnesses
- §20:57 Cross-Examination of the Defense Toxicologist
- §20:58 The Defendant
- §20:59 Closing Argument

C. The Confrontation Clause

- §20:59.1 *Melendez-Diaz v. Massachusetts*
- §20:59.2 *Michigan v. Bryant*
- §20:59.3 *Bullcoming v. New Mexico*
- §20:59.4 The Fundamental Holding of *Melendez-Diaz*, *Bryant* & *Bullcoming*
- §20:59.5 Key Quotes From *Melendez-Diaz*
- §20:59.6 DUI Implications of *Melendez-Diaz*
- §20:59.7 Flow Chart for Confrontation Objections

IV. The Trial**A. General Points**

- §20:60 The Pretrial Conference
- §20:61 Be Likeable
- §20:62 Dress the Client Appropriately
- §20:63 Emphasize the Client's Cooperation
- §20:64 Keep it Simple
- §20:65 Find a Villain
- §20:66 Lay a Foundation and Present the Bad Fact Last
- §20:67 Surround the Bad Fact With Good Facts
- §20:68 Juxtapose the Bad Fact With Worse Facts
- §20:69 Divert Attention From Bad Facts by Attacking

B. Opening Statements

- §20:70 An Opening Statement Is a Necessity
- §20:71 Get the Defendant's Story Out
- §20:72 Discuss the Driving Pattern and the Field Sobriety Tests
- §20:73 Explain How the Breath or Blood Test Was Performed
- §20:74 Tell the Jury the Result of the Blood Alcohol Test
- §20:75 Make the Opening Statement a Defense Rebuttal
- §20:76 Tell the Jury What You Want

C. Cross-Examining the State's Witnesses**1. The Police Officer****a. General Points**

- §20:80 Begin With Good Points
- §20:81 Sometimes Begin With the Hot Topic
- §20:82 Sample Beginning Examination on the Hot Topic
- §20:83 General Rules to Follow and to Avoid
- §20:84 Some Different Angles on Police Reports

b. Questions to Ask Arresting Officers

- §20:90 Academy Training
- §20:91 Video Tape
- §20:92 The Written ABC Test
- §20:93 Time the Field Sobriety Test Instructions
- §20:94 The Arresting Officer Needs Only Probable Cause
- §20:95 Things Not in the Police Report
- §20:96 Are You Sure?
- §20:97 Examine the Arresting Officer's Eyes
- §20:98 Dealing With Claims of Weaving
- §20:99 Cross-Examining Two Police Officers
- §20:100 The Defendant Never Asked to Go to the Bathroom

2. The State's Expert (Seven Step Method)

- §20:110 General Points
- §20:111 Step 1: The Expert's Bias
- §20:112 Step 2: The Expert's Lack of Personal Knowledge
- §20:113 Step 3: The Expert's Lack of True Expertise
- §20:114 Step 4: Ask Questions Beyond Legitimate Dispute
- §20:115 Step 5: Show That Microscopic Measurements Were Made
- §20:116 Step 6: Dismantle the Big Hypothetical
- §20:117 Step 7: Attack the Widmark Calculations

3. The Screening Device Coordinator

- §20:120 General Points
- §20:121 Move to Suppress
- §20:122 Object to References to the Calibration Solution
- §20:123 Watch the Claim of the Calibration Solution Percentage
- §20:124 Watch the Observation Period
- §20:125 Note the Lack of a Slope Detector
- §20:126 Ask for a Jury Instruction

D. Defense Witnesses**1. The Defense Expert**

- §20:130 Call the Expert First
- §20:131 Ask if the Defendant Was Under the Influence

- §20:132 Find Areas of Agreement With the State's Expert
- §20:133 Have the Expert Teach About the Tests
- 2. General Defense Witnesses**
 - §20:140 Find All Potential Witnesses
 - §20:141 Tell the Truth
 - §20:142 Establish the Defendant's Drinking Pattern
 - §20:143 Describe the Defendant's Physical Characteristics
 - §20:144 Describe the Defendant's Driving
- 3. The Defendant**
 - §20:150 Should the Defendant Testify?
 - §20:151 Have the Defendant Testify Last
 - §20:152 Have the Defendant Take Notes
- E. Closing Argument**
 - §20:160 Respond Early to Points Made by the Prosecutor
 - §20:161 Add Something Beyond a Recitation of the Facts
 - §20:162 Have the Jury's Emotion Lead the Argument
 - §20:163 Exploit Anecdotes the Prosecution Used in Voir Dire
 - §20:164 Tell the Jury Not to Split Verdicts
 - §20:165 Consumption of Alcohol Is Not the Same as Being Under the Influence
 - §20:166 Ask Rhetorical Questions and Look for Note Taking
 - §20:167 Can You Tell Jurors to Try the Field Sobriety Tests Themselves?
 - §20:168 Four Jury Instructions You Need to Argue
 - §20:169 One Jury Instruction Problem You Need to Know—The Rebuttal Presumption
 - §20:170 Things That Help Hang Juries
 - §20:171 Do Not Argue Reasonable Doubt Until the End
- F. Jury Instructions**
 - §20:180 Jury Instruction Chart
 - §20:181 The "Dynamite" Instruction
- G. Formulas Used in DUI Cases**
 - §20:190 Quick Reference Chart for Formulas Used in DUI Cases

Table of Cases

Index